

1350 Connecticut Ave. NW, Suite 1220 Washington, D.C. 20036 USA Tel: 1 202 536 1980 Fax: 1 202 296 3802

> Australia Belgium Canada China France Germany India Japan Kenya Netherlands Russia South Africa United Arab Emirates United Kingdom United States

April 24, 2015

Representative David L. Deen Chair, House Committee on Fish, Wildlife and Water Resources 115 State Street Montpelier, VT 05633

Dear Chairman Deen and the Members of the House Committee on Fish, Wildlife and Water Resources,

On behalf of our nearly 2000 members and supporters in the state of Vermont, the International Fund for Animal Welfare (IFAW) submits this letter supporting passage of H 297 by the House Committee on Fish, Wildlife and Water Resources.

Elephants and rhinos are facing a crisis driven by consumer demand for their ivory and horn. IFAW has long been at the leading edge of this issue, including our groundbreaking investigations into Chinese ivory markets (2008), internet sales of illegal wildlife (2008 and 2012), the links between national security and poaching (2008 and 2013), and most recently an analysis of the United States' ivory markets and related regulatory systems (2014). In all of these we have found overwhelming evidence that the legal trade in ivory helps to obscure and propagate a parallel market in illicit products.

We are currently working to support and strengthen the United States Fish & Wildlife Service's efforts to restrict domestic commerce in ivory (colloquially referred to as the "ivory ban") and welcome Vermont's efforts to enact state-level restrictions through H 297. However, we are concerned that certain exemptions for several classes of items proposed by interest groups could enable illegal activity to continue. We understand that many such items were legally acquired, but it is easy to envision a scenario where guns, knives, and musical instruments are used as vehicles to move illegally sourced ivory under the guise of "documented" antiques. It is nearly impossible to determine whether ivory is antique or not and it is very easy to stain or otherwise weather new, illegally sourced ivory to look old.

Despite these reservations, the bill as currently proposed represents a major step forward in halting the illegal ivory and rhino horn trade. Vermont is not alone in its determination to enact state conservation measures; New York and New Jersey have already passed similar bills and bans are being considered in California, Oregon, Massachusetts, and others. Passing H 297 would set a tremendous example to other states, as well as giving Vermont the distinction of helping to change the global conversation on this critical issue. IFAW believes that ivory should belong to elephants. By banning the sale of ivory in your state, you can send the message to poachers, traffickers, and consumers that we value our world's wildlife more than needless trinkets and ornaments. Stopping this bloody trade will reap benefits for wildlife, international security, aid anti-corruption efforts, enable more effective use of our law enforcement funding here and abroad, and give our children the chance to share this planet with one of the planet's truly majestic creatures.

Thank you for your attention to this matter.

Att Nocker

Jeffrey Flocken Regional Director—North America